UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KBVIN PARKER, Individually and on Bahalf of all: Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff.

V8.

AMBAC FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., ROBERT J. : GENADER, PHILLIP B. LASSITER, SEAN T. LEONARD and THOMAS J. GANDOLFO.

Defendants.

USDC SDNY	
DOCUMENT	
ELECTRONICALLY I	FILED
DOC #:	
DATE FILED: 36	68

Civil Action No. 08 Civ. 1825 (44 )

STIPULATION AND ORDER

ECF Case

STIPULATION AND ORDER ADJOURNING THE TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER, MOVE TO DISMISS OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT

IT IS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT Reimer v. Ambac Financial Group, Inc. et al., No. 08 Civ 411 (S.D.N.Y), and Babic v. Ambac Financial Group, Inc. et al., No. 08 Civ 1273 (S.D.N.Y), are related actions that arises from the same set of facts and circumstances that underlie the above-captioned action, and that the Court has "so ordered" substantially similar stipulations in Reimer and Babic.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGRRED, by and between the undersigned counsel, that plaintiff shall have until 60 days after the entry of an order appointing lead plaintiff and approving lead counsel pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3) to file a consolidated and/or amended complaint, and that the time of all defendants to answer, move to dismiss or otherwise respond to the Complaint shall be extended to 60 days after the filing of such consolidated and/or amended complaint. Plaintiff shall have 60 days after defendants file any motion to dismiss or other response to file any response, and defendants shall have 45 days thereafter to file any reply.

IT IS FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGED THAT, as 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(3)(B) provides, all discovery, including initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a), shall be stayed through the pendency of the motion to dismiss, unless the court finds upon the motion of any party that particularized discovery is necessary to preserve evidence or to prevent undue prejudice to that party.

DEFENDANTS ACKNOWLEDGE, without waiver of any arguments or defenses, including defenses related to personal jurisdiction, receipt of a copy of the Complaint in this action as of the date the Court "so orders" and enters this Stipulation, and agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the Complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring service of judicial process in the manner provided for by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT nothing herein shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of, and defendants do not waive and expressly preserve, all arguments and defenses in the above-captioned action, including defenses related to personal iurisdiction.

Dated: New York, New York

February \_\_\_, 2008

March 3, 2008

ABBEY SPANIER RODD & ABRAMS, LLP WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

By:

212 East 39th Street

New York, New York 10016

(212) 889-3700

(212) 684-5191 (facsimile)

SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.

Robert M. Roseman Andrew D. Abramowitz David Felderman 1818 Mark Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (215) 496-0300

(215) 496-6611

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERRD:

Dated:

United States District Judge

New York, New York

March 4, 2008

By:

Peter C. Hein (PH-5279) Warren R. Stern (WS-2957) Joshua A. Naftalia (JN-8054)

51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 (212) 403-1000

(212) 403-2000 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendants